

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/085,239	Applicant(s) WARD ET AL.
		Examiner Leslie A. Royds Draper	Art Unit 1629
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds Draper (Exr.)</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Sean Coughlin (Attny for Applicant)</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>31 March 2011</u>	Time: <u>PM</u>		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: <u>40,43 and 47</u>			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Authorization was given by Applicant's representative for the attached Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Leslie A. Royds Draper/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	